

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,417	09/30/2003	Takuya Murakami	ND-US030617	2416
22919	7590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER	
SHINJYU GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP			NGUYEN, TRAN N	
	TREET, NW, SUITE 70 ON, DC 20036-2680	U	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2834	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,417	MURAKAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Tran N. Nguyen	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commod (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	October 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)	· 🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			52)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of claims 1-5, on 10/1/04 is acknowledged. Since Applicant did not provide any traversal arguments to the restriction requirement, the response is considered as election without traverse; therefore, the election/restriction is made FINAL.

# Claim Objections

Claims 1, 3-5 are objected to because of the following:

The recitation "deformation preventing portions formed on the bent portions by cutting off a part of a pair of oblique lines defining the V-shaped notches toward the circular holes so as to expand the gaps of the V-shaped notches and the circular holes for preventing the bent portions from deforming by stress of bending process" including method of forming language that is not given patentable weight in a structural claimed invention. The above recitation is understood, and hereby suggested to be changed as "deformation preventing portions is being part of the bent portions and including cutting-off parts of a pair of oblique portions defining the V-shaped notches toward the circular holes so as to expand the gaps of the V-shaped notches and the circular holes for preventing the bent portions from deforming by stress."

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,417

Art Unit: 2834

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Applicant's
 Admitted Prior-Art Figs 10-12 and prior-art description in the specification (hereafter AAPA Figs 10-12).

AAPA figs 10-12 discloses a stator core comprising:

strip-shaped straight cores (100) including a plurality of teeth portions (111), bent portions (112) being provided with V-shaped notches (116) and interposing between each of the teeth portion, and segment core elements having plural independent segment cores.

Regarding the method of forming language: "formed by cutting off each of teeth portions; wherein the stator core is made by a steps of, laminating the straight cores and the segment cores, bending the bent portions in a direction so as to close the V-shaped notches to form annular stator core" is not given any patentable weight because a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessminn, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Thus, the method of forming a device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4-5 are objected to, as in the Claim Objection section, but would be allowable if rewritten including all of the limitations and the suggested claimed language written in the Claim Objection section.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reminder: in order to speed up the process of examination, the method claims 6-7 should be canceled.

# Reason for Allowability

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the primary reason for the allowance is the including, in combination with other limitations recited in the claims, the limitations of a stator core comprising deformation preventing portions is being part of the bent portions and including cutting-off parts of a pair of oblique portions defining the V-shaped notches toward the circular holes so as to expand the gaps of the V-shaped notches and the circular holes for preventing the bent portions from deforming by stress.

Comparing to the prior-art of the record, none of the prior art references of the record, either stand-alone or in combination, has taught or suggest the above-mentioned features in combination with other limitations recited in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2834

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tran N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2030. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571)-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tran N. Ngúyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2834